

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/706,446 | Applicant(s) GANDHI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Peling A. Shaw | Art Unit 2144 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) William C. Vaughn, Jr.

(3) _____

 (2) Sunjay Y. Mohan, Reg. No. 56,739.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

13 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative regarding possible 101 problems with claims 13 and 17. The Examiner suggested that amendments to the claims (i.e., storage) would overcome the 101 problems. Applicant's representative agreed to the suggested. An requested that this be done by examiner's amendment..